

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/796,036	03/10/2004	Junichi Shinohara	250128US2	2107	
22850 OBLON, SPIV	7590 03/07/200 AK, MCCLELLAND,	EXAMINER			
1940 DUKE STREET			MADDEN, GREGORY VINCENT		
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			2622		
			,		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/07/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/796,036	SHINOHARA, JUNICHI
Examiner	Art Unit
Gregory V. Madden	2622

		Crogory V: Maddon	2022	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence add	ress
THE	REPLY FILED 14 February 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION I	OR ALLOWANCE.	
	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) i ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply	affidavit, or other evider n compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a)	$igthered{igwedge}$ The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date			
b)	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	ater than SIX MONTHS from the ma (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN 1	iling date of the final rejecti	ion.
have to under set for may re	sions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sthin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office latereduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) CE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amou shortened statutory period for reply o r than three months after the mailing	nt of the fee. The appropr riginally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed NDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))	to avoid dismissal of th	
3. 🛚	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see N w);	IOTE below);	
	 (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a place of the present additional claims without canceling a place of the present additional claims without canceling a place of the present additional claims without canceling a place of the present additional claims without canceling a place of the present additional claims without canceling a place of the present additional claims without canceling a place of the present additional claims without canceling a place of the present additional claims without canceling a place of the present additional claims without canceling a place of the present additional claims without canceling a place of the present additional claims without canceling a place of the present additional claims without canceling a place of the present additional claims without canceling a place of the present additional claims. 	corresponding number of finally		the issues for
. \Box	NOTE: See continuation sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.11	* **	O a manufi a må . A ma a m alma a må .	(DTOL 224)
4. 📙	•		Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. 6.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).		e, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. 🛚	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected to the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-3, 5, 7, 8, and 10.	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ vided below or appended.	will be entered and an e	explanation of
VEEI	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. 🔲	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good anwas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to one showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under ap y and was not earlier presented.	peal and/or appellant fai See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
] The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio <u>JEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</u>	n of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attach	ned.
	The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See continuation sheet.			nce because:
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s): Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	_	

CONTINUATION OF PTOL-303

Response to Amendment

The proposed amendment filed after the final rejection of February 14, 2007 will not be entered because they raise new issues that would require further consideration and search.

Specifically, the Applicant has amended claim 1 to include the limitation of "a first auto focusing device which is a charge coupled device auto focus (CCDAF) configured to obtain and evaluate sequentially an image signal according to each focusing condition changed by relatively moving at least one of a part or an entire of said photographic optical system and said imaging device, thereby obtaining a predetermined focusing condition based on an evaluation result on said image signal" and "a second auto focusing device which is an auto focus (AF) including the ranging device configured to obtain a focusing condition according to said subject distance obtained by said ranging device" (See newly amended claims and Remarks Pgs. 6-8). As the emphasized limitation is newly added to the independent claim and did not appear in any previously dependent claims, the proposed amendments raise new issues that would require further search and consideration.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory V. Madden whose telephone number is 571-272-8128. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ngoc Yen Vu can be reached on 571-272-7320. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/796,036

Art Unit: 2622

Page 3

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Gregory Madden February 28, 2007

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER